arXiv:1608.05249v1 [gr-qc] 18 Aug 2016

NONEXISTENCE OF TIME-PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF THE DIRAC EQUATION IN NONEXTREME KERR-NEWMAN-ADS SPACETIME

YAOHUA WANG AND XIAO ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In non-extreme Kerr-Newman-AdS spacetime, we prove that there is no nontrivial Dirac particle which is L^p for $0 < p \leq \frac{4}{3}$ with arbitrary eigenvalue λ, and for $\frac{4}{3} < p \leq \frac{4}{3-2q}$, $0 < q < \frac{3}{2}$ with eigenvalue $|\lambda| > |Q| + q\kappa$, outside and away from the event horizon. By taking $q = \frac{1}{2}$, we show that there is no normalizable massive Dirac particle with mass greater than $|Q| + \frac{\kappa}{2}$ outside and away from the event horizon in non-extreme Kerr-Newman-AdS spacetime, and they must either disappear into the black hole or escape to infinity, and this recovers the same result of Belgiorno and Cacciatori in the case of $Q = 0$ obtained by using spectral methods. Furthermore, we prove that any Dirac particle with eigenvalue $|\lambda| < \frac{\kappa}{2}$ must be L^2 outside and away from the event horizon.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [7], Finster, Kamran, Smoller and Yau proved nonexistence of time-periodic solutions of the Dirac equation in non-extreme Kerr-Newman spacetime, which are normalizable outside and away from the event horizon. The method is to use Chandrasekhar's separation of the Dirac equation [4, 5]. The results have significant physical implication that a quantum mechanical Dirac particle must either disappear into the black hole or escape to infinity.

However, the situation is more complicated when the cosmological constant is nonzero. In this paper, we study the corresponding problem for non-extreme Kerr-Newman-AdS spacetime which equips with the following metric

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{g}_{KNAdS} = &-\left[1 - \frac{2mr - Q^2 - P^2}{U} + \kappa^2\big(r^2 + a^2\sin^2\theta\big)\right]dt^2 \\ &+ \frac{V}{U}\sin^2\theta d\varphi^2 + \frac{U}{\Delta_r}dr^2 + \frac{U}{\Delta_\theta}d\theta^2 \\ &- 2a\sin^2\theta\left[\frac{2mr - Q^2 - P^2}{U} - \kappa^2\big(r^2 + a^2\big)\right]dtd\varphi,\end{aligned}$$

for some $\kappa > 0$, $m > 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_r &= \big(r^2 + a^2\big)\big(1 + \kappa^2 r^2\big) - 2mr + Q^2 + P^2,\\
\Delta_\theta &= 1 - \kappa^2 a^2 \cos^2\theta, \quad U = r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta,\\
V &= (2mr - Q^2 - P^2)a^2\sin^2\theta + U\big(r^2 + a^2\big)\big(1 - \kappa^2 a^2\big).
\end{aligned}$$

The metric solves the Einstein-Maxwell field equations with an electromagnetic vector potential given by

$$\begin{aligned}
A = &- \frac{Qr}{\sqrt{U}}\sqrt{\frac{|\,\Delta_r\,|}{U}}\left(dt - \frac{a\sin^2\theta}{\Xi}d\varphi\right)\\
&+ \frac{P}{\sqrt{U}}\cot\theta\frac{1}{\sqrt{U\Delta_\theta}}\left(a\sin\theta dt + \frac{\Xi}{\sin\theta}d\varphi\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Xi = 1 - \kappa^2 a^2$. For our convenience, we re-write the Kerr-Newman-AdS metric as

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{g}_{KNAdS} = &- \frac{\Delta_r}{U}\left(dt - \frac{a\sin^2\theta}{\Xi}d\varphi\right)^2 + \frac{U}{\Delta_r}dr^2 + \frac{U}{\Delta_\theta}d\theta^2\\
&+ \frac{\Delta_\theta\sin^2\theta}{U}\left[adt - \frac{(r^2 + a^2)}{\Xi}d\varphi\right]^2.
\end{aligned}$$

If $m > 0$, Δ_r has either four complex roots or two real roots on $r \geq 0$. Throughout the paper, we assume Kerr-Newman-AdS spacetime is non-extreme, i.e., Δ_r has two different real roots $0 < r_c < r_e$, $r = r_c$ is the Cauchy horizon and $r = r_e$ is the event horizon. We also denote M_{r_1} the time slice $\{t = constant\}$ of Kerr-Newman-AdS spacetime with $r \geq r_1 > 0$.

In this paper, we prove nonexistence of the Dirac equation

$$(D + i\lambda)\,\Psi = 0, \quad D = e^\alpha\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_\alpha + iA(e_\alpha)\right) \tag{1.1}$$

on $r > 0$ for real eigenvalue λ such that Ψ is L^p on M_{r_1} for $0 < p \leq \frac{4}{3}$ with some $r_1 > r_e$, where Ψ takes the form

$$\Psi = S^{-1}\Phi, \quad \Phi = e^{-i\left(\omega t + (k + \frac{1}{2})\varphi\right)}\begin{pmatrix} X_-(r)Y_-(\theta)\\ X_+(r)Y_+(\theta)\\ X_+(r)Y_-(\theta)\\ X_-(r)Y_+(\theta)\end{pmatrix} \tag{1.2}$$

and S is a diagonal matrix

$$S = |\Delta_r|^{\frac{1}{4}}\,\mathrm{diag}\Big((r + ia\cos\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}, (r + ia\cos\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}, (r - ia\cos\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}, (r - ia\cos\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)$$

which vanishes on the event horizon. We also prove nonexistence of eigenspinor Ψ with eigenvalue $|\lambda| > |Q| + q\kappa$ and Ψ is L^p over M_{r_1} for $0 < p \leq \frac{4}{3-2q}$, $0 < q < \frac{3}{2}$ with some $r_1 > r_e$.

Following from [6, 7], we say that a wave function Ψ is time-periodic with period T if there is a real parameter Ω such that

$$\Psi(t+T,r,\theta,\varphi)=e^{-i\Omega T}\Psi(t,r,\theta,\varphi).$$

For time-periodic wave functions, we can separate out the time dependence in a discrete Fourier series

$$\Psi(t,r,\theta,\varphi)=e^{-i\Omega T}\sum_{n,k\in\mathbf{Z}}\sum_{\lambda_{nk}\in\mathbf{R}}e^{-i\left(\frac{2n\pi}{T}t+(k+\frac{1}{2})\varphi\right)}\Psi^{\lambda_{nk}},$$

$$\Psi^{\lambda_{nk}}=S^{-1}\Phi^{\lambda_{nk}},\quad \Phi^{\lambda_{nk}}=\begin{pmatrix}X_-^{\lambda_{nk}}(r)Y_-^{\lambda_{nk}}(\theta)\\ X_+^{\lambda_{nk}}(r)Y_+^{\lambda_{nk}}(\theta)\\ X_+^{\lambda_{nk}}(r)Y_-^{\lambda_{nk}}(\theta)\\ X_-^{\lambda_{nk}}(r)Y_+^{\lambda_{nk}}(\theta)\end{pmatrix}.$$

The Dirac wave function Ψ is normalizable if it is L^2 over M_{r_1} for some $r_1\geq r_e>0$. By taking $q=\frac{1}{2}$ in the above nonexistence of the Dirac equation, it indicates that there is no normalizable massive Dirac particle with mass greater than $|Q|+\frac{1}{2}\kappa$ outside and away from the event horizon in non-extreme Kerr-Newman-AdS spacetime, and they must either disappear into the black hole or escape to infinity.

Although it contradicts with recent cosmological observations which indicated that our universe should have a positive cosmological constant, the negative cosmological constant as well as the results in the paper may have some physical implications in the theory of strongly coupled superconductors based on the point of view of Anti-de Sitter/Conformal Field Theory correspondence. We refer to the recent introductory overview of relevant theory [2] and references therein.

2. Spin connections on Kerr-Newman-AdS spacetime

In this section we discuss spin connections for Kerr-Newman-AdS spacetime in terms of Cartan's structure equations. These results are essentially well-known in the literature. Denote the frame of the Kerr-Newman-AdS metric

$$e^0=\sqrt{\frac{\mid\Delta_r\mid}{U}}\left(dt-\frac{a\sin^2\theta}{\Xi}d\varphi\right),\quad e^1=\sqrt{\frac{U}{\mid\Delta_r\mid}}dr,$$

$$e^2=\sqrt{\frac{U}{\Delta_\theta}}d\theta,\quad e^3=\sqrt{\frac{\Delta_\theta}{U}}\sin\theta\left[adt-\frac{(r^2+a^2)}{\Xi}d\varphi\right]$$

and its dual frame

$$e_0=\frac{r^2+a^2}{\sqrt{U\mid\Delta_r\mid}}\left(\partial_t+\frac{a\Xi}{r^2+a^2}\partial_\varphi\right),\quad e_1=\sqrt{\frac{\mid\Delta_r\mid}{U}}\partial_r,$$

$$e_2=\sqrt{\frac{\Delta_\theta}{U}}\partial_\theta,\quad e_3=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{U\Delta_\theta}}\left(a\sin\theta\partial_t+\frac{\Xi}{\sin\theta}\partial_\varphi\right).$$

It is straightforward to derive the connection 1-form

$$\begin{aligned}
&de^0 = C^0_{10}e^1\wedge e^0 + C^0_{20}e^2\wedge e^0 + C^0_{23}e^2\wedge e^3,\\
&de^1 = C^1_{12}e^1\wedge e^2, \quad de^2 = C^2_{12}e^1\wedge e^2,\\
&de^3 = C^3_{10}e^1\wedge e^0 + C^3_{13}e^1\wedge e^3 + C^3_{23}e^2\wedge e^3
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&C^0_{10} = \partial_r\sqrt{\frac{|\,\Delta_r\,|}{U}}, \quad C^0_{20} = -\sqrt{\Delta_\theta}\partial_\theta\frac{1}{\sqrt{U}},\\
&C^1_{12} = -\frac{\sqrt{\Delta_\theta}}{U}\partial_\theta\sqrt{U}, \quad C^2_{12} = \frac{\sqrt{|\,\Delta_r\,|}}{U}\partial_r\sqrt{U},\\
&C^3_{10} = -2ar\sqrt{\Delta_\theta}U^{-\frac{3}{2}}\sin\theta, \quad C^0_{23} = 2a\sqrt{|\,\Delta_r\,|}U^{-\frac{3}{2}}\cos\theta,\\
&C^3_{13} = -\sqrt{|\,\Delta_r\,|}\partial_r\sqrt{\frac{1}{U}}, \quad C^3_{23} = \frac{1}{\sin\theta}\partial_\theta\Big(\sqrt{\frac{\Delta_\theta}{U}}\sin\theta\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

By Cartan's structure equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega^0{}_1 = C^0_{10}e^0 - \frac{1}{2}C^3_{10}e^3 = -\omega_{01}, \quad \omega^0{}_2 = C^0_{20}e^0 + \frac{1}{2}C^0_{23}e^3 = -\omega_{02},\\
&\omega^1{}_2 = -C^1_{12}e^1 - C^2_{12}e^2 = \omega_{12}, \quad \omega^0{}_3 = -\frac{1}{2}C^3_{10}e^1 - \frac{1}{2}C^0_{23}e^2 = -\omega_{03},\\
&\omega^1{}_3 = -\frac{1}{2}C^3_{10}e^0 - C^3_{13}e^3 = \omega_{13}, \quad \omega^2{}_3 = \frac{1}{2}C^0_{23}e^0 - C^3_{23}e^3 = \omega_{23}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus spin covariant derivatives take the following forms

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_0}\Psi =& e_0\Psi - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{01}(e_0)e^0\cdot e^1\cdot\Psi - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{02}(e_0)e^0\cdot e^2\cdot\Psi\\
&- \frac{1}{2}\omega_{13}(e_0)e^1\cdot e^3\cdot\Psi - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{23}(e_0)e^2\cdot e^3\cdot\Psi,\\
\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_1}\Psi =& e_1\Psi - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{03}(e_1)e^0\cdot e^3\cdot\Psi - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{12}(e_1)e^1\cdot e^2\cdot\Psi,\\
\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_2}\Psi =& e_2\Psi - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{03}(e_2)e^0\cdot e^3\cdot\Psi - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{12}(e_2)e^1\cdot e^2\cdot\Psi,\\
\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_3}\Psi =& e_0\Psi - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{01}(e_3)e^0\cdot e^1\cdot\Psi - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{02}(e_3)e^0\cdot e^2\cdot\Psi\\
&- \frac{1}{2}\omega_{13}(e_3)e^1\cdot e^3\cdot\Psi - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{23}(e_3)e^2\cdot e^3\cdot\Psi.
\end{aligned}$$

From now on, we fix the following Clifford representation

$$e_0 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \\ 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \end{pmatrix}, \qquad e_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} & & -1 & \\ & & & 1 \\ 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \end{pmatrix},$$

$$e_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & -1 & & \\ -1 & & & \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} & & & i \\ & & -i & \\ & -i & & \\ i & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

for $\Delta_r > 0$, and fix the following Clifford representation

$$e_0 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} & & -1 & \\ & & & 1 \\ 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} & & -1 & \\ & & & -1 \\ -1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \end{pmatrix},$$

$$e_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & -1 & & \\ -1 & & & \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} & & & i \\ & & -i & \\ & -i & & \\ i & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

for $\Delta_r < 0$. The spin connections are

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_\alpha \Psi = e_\alpha \Psi + E_\alpha \cdot \Psi,$$

for $\Delta_r > 0$, where

$$E_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} C^0_{10} + \frac{i}{2}C^0_{23} & -C^0_{20} - \frac{i}{2}C^3_{10} & 0 & 0 \\ -C^0_{20} - \frac{i}{2}C^3_{10} & -C^0_{10} - \frac{i}{2}C^0_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -C^0_{10} + \frac{i}{2}C^0_{23} & C^0_{20} - \frac{i}{2}C^3_{10} \\ 0 & 0 & C^0_{20} - \frac{i}{2}C^3_{10} & C^0_{10} - \frac{i}{2}C^0_{23} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$E_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -C^1_{12} + \frac{i}{2}C^3_{10} & 0 & 0 \\ C^1_{12} - \frac{i}{2}C^3_{10} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -C^1_{12} - \frac{i}{2}C^3_{10} \\ 0 & 0 & C^1_{12} + \frac{i}{2}C^3_{10} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$E_2 = -\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -C^2_{12} + \frac{i}{2}C^0_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ C^2_{12} - \frac{i}{2}C^0_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -C^2_{12} - \frac{i}{2}C^0_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & C^2_{12} + \frac{i}{2}C^0_{23} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$E_3 = -\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}C^3_{10} - iC^3_{23} & -\frac{1}{2}C^0_{23} - iC^3_{13} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}C^0_{23} - iC^3_{13} & \frac{1}{2}C^3_{10} + iC^3_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}C^3_{10} - iC^3_{23} & \frac{1}{2}C^0_{23} - iC^3_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}C^0_{23} - iC^3_{13} & -\frac{1}{2}C^3_{10} + iC^3_{23} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The spin connections can be derived in a similar way for $\Delta_r < 0$.

3. The Dirac equation and nonexistence

In this section, we use the method in [6, 7] to prove certain nonexistence of the Dirac equation on non-extreme Kerr-Newman-AdS spacetime. Using Clifford representations for $\Delta_r > 0$, the Dirac equation (1.1) reduces to the following equation

$$\mathcal{D}_1\phi = \mathcal{D}_2\phi, \qquad \phi = \begin{pmatrix} X_-(r)Y_-(\theta) \\ X_+(r)Y_+(\theta) \\ X_+(r)Y_-(\theta) \\ X_-(r)Y_+(\theta) \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -i\lambda r & 0 & \sqrt{\Delta_r}D_{r+} & 0 \\ 0 & i\lambda r & 0 & \sqrt{\Delta_r}D_{r-} \\ \sqrt{\Delta_r}D_{r-} & 0 & i\lambda r & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\Delta_r}D_{r+} & 0 & -i\lambda r \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\mathcal{D}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a\lambda\cos\theta & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\Delta_\theta}L_{\theta+} \\ 0 & -a\lambda\cos\theta & -\sqrt{\Delta_\theta}L_{\theta-} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\Delta_\theta}L_{\theta-} & a\lambda\cos\theta & 0 \\ -\sqrt{\Delta_\theta}L_{\theta+} & 0 & 0 & -a\lambda\cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} D_{r\pm} =& \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \mp \frac{i}{\Delta_r}\left(\omega(r^2+a^2) + Qr\sqrt{\Delta_r} + (k+\frac{1}{2})\Xi a\right), \\ L_{\theta\pm} =& \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_\theta}}\left(\omega a\sin\theta + \frac{(k+\frac{1}{2})\Xi}{\sin\theta} - P\cot\theta\sqrt{\Delta_\theta}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\left(\cot\theta + \frac{\kappa^2 a^2\sin\theta\cos\theta}{\Delta_\theta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus there exists a real constant ϵ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{dX_+(r)}{dr} - i\alpha_1 X_+(r) - (i\beta_1+\gamma_1)X_-(r) = 0, \\ &\frac{dX_-(r)}{dr} + i\alpha_1 X_-(r) + (i\beta_1-\gamma_1)X_+(r) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 =& \frac{1}{\Delta_r}\left(\omega(r^2+a^2) + Qr\sqrt{\Delta_r} + (k+\frac{1}{2})\Xi a\right), \\ \beta_1 =& \frac{\lambda r}{\sqrt{\Delta_r}}, \quad \gamma_1 = \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\Delta_r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we could get the radial equation for $\Delta_r < 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{dX_+(r)}{dr} - i\alpha_2 X_+(r) - (i\beta_2 + \gamma_2)X_-(r) = 0, \\ &\frac{dX_-(r)}{dr} + i\alpha_2 X_-(r) - (i\beta_2 + \gamma_2)X_+(r) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2 =& \frac{1}{\Delta_r}\left(\omega(r^2+a^2) + Qr\sqrt{-\Delta_r} + (k+\frac{1}{2})\Xi a\right), \\ \beta_2 =& \frac{\lambda r}{\sqrt{-\Delta_r}}, \quad \gamma_2 = \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{-\Delta_r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Same as [6, 7], we can show that $X_+ = 0$ or $X_- = 0$ on the horizons can match the solution inside and outside the horizons. Let Ψ be the solution of (1.1) taking the form (1.2). Denote $\star = e_0 \cdot e_1 \cdot \in End(\mathbb{S})$, As $\star^2 = id$, $\mathbb{S}$ can be decomposed as $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^-$ where

$$\mathbb{S}^\pm = \left\{ \Phi^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(id \mp \star\cdot)\Phi \right\}.$$

We say that Φ satisfies the local boundary condition on horizons either $\Phi^+ = 0$ or $\Phi^- = 0$ on horizons. Since

$$e_0 \cdot e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

that $X_\pm = 0$ is equivalent to $\Phi^\pm = 0$ on horizons.

Theorem 3.1. *Let Ψ be the solution of (1.1) taking the form (1.2) on $r > 0$ in non-extreme Kerr-Newman-AdS spacetime. For $0 < p \leq \frac{4}{3}$, there is no nontrivial Ψ for arbitrary λ which is L^p over M_{r_1} with some $r_1 > r_e$.*

Proof: The equation (3.1) implies

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} = E \cdot \Phi, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} i\alpha_1 & 0 & i\beta_1 + \gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -i\alpha_1 & 0 & -i\beta_1 + \gamma_1 \\ -i\beta_1 + \gamma_1 & 0 & -i\alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & i\beta_1 + \gamma_1 & 0 & i\alpha_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}|\Phi|^2 =&\bar{\Phi}^t\left(E+\bar{E}^t\right)\Phi\\ =&2\bar{\Phi}^t\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0 & i\beta_1+\gamma_1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i\beta_1+\gamma_1\\ -i\beta_1+\gamma_1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & i\beta_1+\gamma_1 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}\Phi.\end{aligned}$$

So there exist some positive constants C_1, r_1 such that, on $r_e < r \le r_1$,

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial r}|\Phi|^2\right| \le 2C_1(r-r_e)^{\beta}|\Phi|^2$$

for some $\beta > -1$. Thus, outside the zero set of Φ,

$$|\Phi(r)| \le |\Phi(s)|\exp\left(C_1\int_s^r(\bar{r}-r_e)^{\beta}d\bar{r}\right).$$

By taking $r \to r_e$, we conclude $|\Phi| < \infty$ on $r = r_e$. Moreover, taking $s \to r_e$, we find $|\Phi| = 0$ on $r_e < r \le r_1$ if $|\Phi| = 0$ on $r = r_e$. On the other hand, by (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dr}\left(|X_+|^2-|X_-|^2\right) =&\Re\left(\frac{dX_+}{dr}\bar{X}_+\right)-\Re\left(\frac{dX_-}{dr}\bar{X}_-\right)\\ =&\Re\left((i\alpha_1X_+ +(i\beta_1+\gamma_1)X_-)\,\bar{X}_+\right)\\ &-\Re\left((-i\alpha_1X_- +(-i\beta_1+\gamma_1)X_+)\,\bar{X}_-\right)\\ =&\Re\left(i\alpha_1\left(X_+\bar{X}_+ + X_-\bar{X}_-\right)\right)\\ &+\Re\left(i\beta_1\left(X_-\bar{X}_+ + X_+\bar{X}_-\right)\right)\\ &+\Re\left(\gamma_1\left(X_-\bar{X}_+ - X_+\bar{X}_-\right)\right)=0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$|X_+|^2-|X_-|^2 = C$$

for some constant C. If $C \neq 0$, we can assume $C > 0$ without loss of generality. Thus

$$\int_{M_{r_1}}|\Psi|^p\,d\mu > C'\int_{r_1}^{\infty}r^{1-\frac{3p}{2}}dr = \infty$$

for $0 < p \le \frac{4}{3}$. This contradicts the assumption that Ψ is L^p. Thus $C = 0$. Hence $|X_+|^2 = |X_-|^2$ for $r > r_e$. The above discussion shows that $|X_\pm|$ exists on $r = r_e$. So the match condition of the solution gives $|X_+| = |X_-| = 0$ on $r = r_e$. Therefore $\Phi \equiv 0$ for $r \ge r_e$.

On $r_c < r < r_e$, $\Delta_r < 0$ and $X_\pm(r)$ satisfy (3.2), and on $0 < r < r_c$, $\Delta_r > 0$ and $X_\pm(r)$ satisfy (3.1). The same argument shows that $\Phi \equiv 0$ for $r_c \le r \le r_e$ and $0 \le r \le r_c$. Q.E.D.

Theorem 3.2. *Let Ψ be the solution of (1.1) taking the form (1.2) on $r > 0$ in non-extreme Kerr-Newman-AdS spacetime. For $\frac{4}{3} < p \leq \frac{4}{3-2q}$, $0 < q < \frac{3}{2}$, there is no nontrivial Ψ for $|\lambda| > |Q| + q\kappa$ which is L^p over M_{r_1} with some $r_1 > r_e$.*

Proof: We only prove it for the case $\lambda > |Q| + q\kappa$, and it can be proved in a similar manner for $\lambda < -|Q| - q\kappa$. By (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dr}\left[i(\bar{X}_+X_- - \bar{X}_-X_+)\right] =& i\left[-i\alpha_1\bar{X}_+ - (i\beta_1 - \gamma_1)\bar{X}_-\right]X_- \\ &+ i\left[-i\alpha_1 X_- - (i\beta_1 - \gamma_1)X_+\right]\bar{X}_+ \\ &- i\left[i\alpha_1 X_+ + (i\beta_1 + \gamma_1)X_-\right]\bar{X}_- \\ &- i\left[i\alpha_1\bar{X}_- + (i\beta_1 + \gamma_1)\bar{X}_+\right]X_+ \\ =& 2\alpha_1(\bar{X}_+X_- + \bar{X}_-X_+) + 2\beta_1(|X_+|^2 + |X_-|^2) \\ \geq& 2(\beta_1 - |\alpha_1|)(|X_+|^2 + |X_-|^2).\end{aligned}$$

As $\alpha_1 \sim \frac{Q}{\kappa r}$, $\beta_1 \sim \frac{\lambda}{\kappa r}$ for sufficiently large r, there exists a sufficiently large $r_2 > r_1$ such that, for $r \geq r_2$,

$$\frac{d}{dr}\left[i\left(\bar{X}_+X_- - \bar{X}_-X_+\right)\right] \geq \frac{2q}{r}(|X_+|^2 + |X_-|^2). \tag{3.4}$$

We have already proved that

$$|X_+|^2 - |X_-|^2 = C$$

for some constant C. If $C = 0$, then $\Psi \equiv 0$ from the proof of Theorem 3.1. Now we assume $C \neq 0$, then (3.4) gives

$$\frac{d}{dr}\left[i\left(\bar{X}_+X_- - \bar{X}_-X_+\right)\right] \geq \frac{2q|C|}{r}$$

for $r \geq r_2$. Integrating it, we obtain

$$i\left[\bar{X}_+X_- - \bar{X}_-X_+\right] \geq 2q|C|\ln r + C'$$

for $r \geq r_2$. Thus there exists $r_3 > r_2$ such that, for $r \geq r_3$,

$$i(\bar{X}_+X_- - \bar{X}_-X_+) > 0.$$

On the other hand, (3.4) implies

$$\frac{d}{dr}\left[i\left(\bar{X}_+X_- - \bar{X}_-X_+\right)\right] \geq \frac{2q}{r}i\left[\bar{X}_+X_- - \bar{X}_-X_+\right]$$

for $r \geq r_e$. Therefore, for $r \geq r_3$,

$$|X|^2 \geq i\left(\bar{X}_+X_- - \bar{X}_-X_+\right) \geq C_1 r^{2q}$$

for certain constant $C_1 > 0$. Thus, for $0 < p \leq \frac{4}{3-2q}$,

$$\int_{M_{r_1}} |\Psi|^p \, d\mu > C' \int_{r_3}^{\infty} r^{1+(q-\frac{3}{2})p} dr = \infty$$

This gives a contradiction. Hence $\Psi \equiv 0$ for $r \geq r_e$. Then, similar to the discussion in Theorem 3.1, we obtain $\Psi \equiv 0$ for $r > 0$ (Theorem 3.1 already gives that $\Psi \equiv 0$ for $0 < p \leq \frac{4}{3}$). Q.E.D.

Corollary 3.1. *By taking $q = \frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 3.2, we obtain that there is no normalizable massive Dirac particle with mass greater than $|Q| + \frac{\kappa}{2}$ outside and away from the event horizon in non-extreme Kerr-Newman-AdS spacetime, and they must either disappear into the black hole or escape to infinity.*

Note that this recovers the same result of Belgiorno and Cacciatori [1] in the case of $Q = 0$ obtained by using spectral methods.

Theorem 3.3. *Let Ψ be the solution of (1.1) taking the form (1.2) on $r > 0$ in non-extreme Kerr-Newman-AdS spacetime. Any nontrivial Ψ for $|\lambda| < \frac{\kappa}{2}$ must be L^2 over M_{r_1} with some $r_1 > r_e$.*

Proof: In terms of (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dr}\left(|X_+|^2 + |X_-|^2\right) =& \Re\left(\frac{dX_+}{dr}\bar{X}_+\right) + \Re\left(\frac{dX_-}{dr}\bar{X}_-\right) \\
=& \Re\left((i\alpha_1 X_+ + (i\beta_1 + \gamma_1)X_-)\,\bar{X}_+\right) \\
&+ \Re\left((-i\alpha_1 X_- + (-i\beta_1 + \gamma_1)X_+)\,\bar{X}_-\right) \\
=& \Re\left(i\alpha_1\left(X_+\bar{X}_+ - X_-\bar{X}_-\right)\right) \\
&+ \Re\left(i\beta_1\left(X_-\bar{X}_+ - X_+\bar{X}_-\right)\right) \\
&+ \Re\left(\gamma_1\left(X_-\bar{X}_+ + X_+\bar{X}_-\right)\right) \\
=& i\beta_1\left(X_-\bar{X}_+ - X_+\bar{X}_-\right) + \gamma_1\left(X_-\bar{X}_+ + X_+\bar{X}_-\right).
\end{aligned}$$

As $\beta_1 \sim \frac{\lambda}{\kappa r}$ and $\gamma_1 \sim \frac{\epsilon}{\kappa r^2}$ for sufficiently large r, and $|\lambda| < \frac{\kappa}{2}$, there exists a sufficiently large $r_2 > r_1$ such that, for $r \geq r_2$,

$$\frac{d}{dr}\left(|X_+|^2 + |X_-|^2\right) \leq \frac{a}{r}(|X_+|^2 + |X_-|^2)$$

for some constant $0 < a < 1$. Therefore,

$$|X_+|^2 + |X_-|^2 \leq Cr^a$$

for some constant C. Thus

$$\int_{\{r>r_1\}} |\Psi|^2\, d\mu \leq C' \int_{r_1}^{\infty} r^{a-2} dr < \infty.$$

Q.E.D.

Finally, we guess that there exists normalizable massive Dirac particle with mass less than $|Q| + \frac{\kappa}{2}$ in non-extreme Kerr-Newman-AdS spacetime. For the special case $a = 0$ and spacetime is Schwarzschild-AdS:

$$\widetilde{g}_{SAdS} = -W dt^2 + \frac{1}{W}dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2),$$

where

$$W = 1 - \frac{2m}{r} + \kappa^2 r^2 = \frac{\Delta_r}{r^2},$$

there does exist static, normalizable massless Dirac particle

$$\Psi = e^{\frac{i}{2}\varphi} S^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sinh\big(-\int_{r_0}^r r^{-1} W^{-\frac{1}{2}} dr\big) \sin\frac{\theta}{2} \\ \cosh\big(-\int_{r_0}^r r^{-1} W^{-\frac{1}{2}} dr\big) \cos\frac{\theta}{2} \\ \cosh\big(-\int_{r_0}^r r^{-1} W^{-\frac{1}{2}} dr\big) \sin\frac{\theta}{2} \\ \sinh\big(-\int_{r_0}^r r^{-1} W^{-\frac{1}{2}} dr\big) \cos\frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $k = -1$, $\varepsilon = -1$, $\omega = \lambda = 0$.

4. General stationary axisymmetric spacetimes

In this section, we use the argument in [7] to study the analogous nonexistence for general stationary axisymmetric spacetimes with negative cosmological constant. The general stationary axisymmetric solutions for the Einstein field equations can be written as

$$ds^2 = \frac{\Delta_\mu (d\chi + \nu^2 d\psi)^2 - \Delta_\nu (d\chi - \mu^2 d\psi)^2}{\nu^2 + \mu^2} + (\nu^2 + \mu^2)\Big(\frac{d\nu^2}{\Delta_\nu} + \frac{d\mu^2}{\Delta_\mu}\Big), \quad (4.1)$$

with the potential

$$A = \frac{1}{\nu^2 + \mu^2}\big(H(\nu)(d\chi - \mu^2 d\psi) + K(\mu)(d\chi + \nu^2 d\psi)\big), \quad (4.2)$$

where Δ_μ, Δ_ν are smooth functions depending only on μ, ν respectively, $-\infty < \chi$, $\nu < \infty$, $0 \le \psi < 2\pi$, $-a < \mu < a$ for some positive constant a. We refer to [3] for choices of these functions for Kerr-Newman-AdS spacetime.

Consider the Dirac equation

$$(D + i\lambda)\,\Psi = 0, \quad \Psi = S^{-1}\Phi, \quad \Phi = e^{-i(\omega\chi + k\psi)} \begin{pmatrix} X_-(\nu)Y_-(\mu) \\ X_+(\nu)Y_+(\mu) \\ X_+(\nu)Y_-(\mu) \\ X_-(\nu)Y_+(\mu) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.3)$$

for real eigenvalue λ and diagonal matrix

$$S = |\Delta_\nu|^{\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{diag}\big((\nu + i\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \big((\nu + i\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \big((\nu - i\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \big((\nu - i\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}}\big).$$

It is equivalent to the following equations in the region $\Delta_\nu > 0$ (c.f. [7])

$$\mathcal{D}_1\phi = \mathcal{D}_2\phi, \qquad \phi = \begin{pmatrix} X_-(\nu)Y_-(\mu) \\ X_+(\nu)Y_+(\mu) \\ X_+(\nu)Y_-(\mu) \\ X_-(\nu)Y_+(\mu) \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -i\lambda\nu & 0 & \sqrt{\Delta_\nu}D_{\nu+} & 0 \\ 0 & i\lambda\nu & 0 & \sqrt{\Delta_\nu}D_{\nu-} \\ \sqrt{\Delta_\nu}D_{\nu-} & 0 & i\lambda\nu & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\Delta_\nu}D_{\nu+} & 0 & -i\lambda\nu \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda\mu & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\Delta_\mu}L_{\mu+} \\ 0 & -\lambda\mu & -\sqrt{\Delta_\mu}L_{\mu-} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\Delta_\mu}L_{\mu-} & \lambda\mu & 0 \\ -\sqrt{\Delta_\mu}L_{\mu+} & 0 & 0 & -\lambda\mu \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$D_{\nu\pm} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} \mp \frac{i}{\Delta_\nu}\left(\omega\nu^2 + H(\nu) - k\right).$$

Thus there exists a real constant ϵ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{dX_+(\nu)}{d\nu} - i\alpha X_+(\nu) - (i\beta+\gamma)X_-(\nu) = 0, \\ &\frac{dX_-(\nu)}{d\nu} + i\alpha X_-(\nu) + (i\beta-\gamma)X_+(\nu) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\Delta_\nu}\Big(\omega\nu^2 + H(\nu) - k\Big), \quad \beta = \frac{\lambda\nu}{\sqrt{\Delta_\nu}}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\Delta_\nu}}.$$

Similar equations can also be obtained in the region $\Delta_\nu < 0$. Now we introduce the following conditions:

(A) the asymptotic behavior $0 < lim_{\nu\to\pm\infty}(\Delta_\mu - \nu^{-4}\mu^4\Delta_\nu) < \infty$ since the the slice $\{\chi = constant\}$ is asymptotically AdS;
(B) Δ_ν has only simple roots $\nu_1 < \cdots < \nu_e$, which are the horizons;
(C) $X_+ = 0$ or $X_- = 0$ on the horizons which can match the solution inside and outside the horizons.

Theorem 4.1. *Denote M_{ν_*} the slice $\{\chi = constant\}$ of the spacetime with $\nu \geq \nu_* > \nu_e$ for some $\nu_* > 0$. Under the conditions (A), (B) and (C), any solution Ψ of (4.3) which is L^p over M_{ν_*} for $0 < p \leq \frac{4}{3}$ must be zero.*

Proof: In terms of (4.4) and (B), we obtain: (i) $|\Phi| < \infty$ on $\nu = \nu_e$; (ii) $|\Phi| = 0$ on $\nu = \nu_e$ implies that $|\Phi| = 0$ on $\nu_e < \nu \leq \nu_*$.

On the other hand, (4.4) gives that

$$\frac{d}{d\nu}\left(|X_+|^2 - |X_-|^2\right) = 0.$$

This implies that

$$|X_+|^2 - |X_-|^2 = C$$

for some constant C. If $C \neq 0$, we can assume $C > 0$ without loss of generality. Thus

$$\int_{M_{\nu_*}} |\Psi|^p \, dv > C' \int_{\nu_*}^{\infty} \nu^{1-\frac{3p}{2}} d\nu = \infty$$

for $0 < p \leq \frac{4}{3}$ under the condition (A). This contradicts the assumption that Ψ is L^p. Thus $C = 0$. Hence $|X_+|^2 = |X_-|^2$ for $\nu > \nu_e$. The above discussion shows that $|X_\pm|$ exists on $\nu = \nu_e$. So the condition (C) gives $|X_+| = |X_-| = 0$ on $\nu = \nu_e$. Therefore $\Phi \equiv 0$ for $\nu \geq \nu_e$. The similar argument can show that $\Phi \equiv 0$ on the whole slice. Q.E.D.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the referee for pointing out the paper of Belgiorno and Cacciatori as well as some valuable suggestions. This work is partially supported by the National Science Foundation of China (grants 11171328, 11571345, 11401168) and the project of mathematics and interdisciplinary sciences of Chinese Academy of Sciences.

References

[1] F. Belgiorno, S.L. Cacciatori, The Dirac equation in KerrCNewmanCAds black hole background, J. Math. Phys. 51, 033517 (2010).
[2] R. Cai, L. Li, L.-F. Li, R.-Q. Yang, Introduction to holographic superconductor models, arXiv:1502.00437v3 [hep-th].
[3] B. Carter, Hamilton-Jacobi and Schrodinger separable solutions of Einstein's equation. Commun. Math. Phys. 10, 280-310 (1968).
[4] S. Chandrasekhar, The solution of Dirac's equation in Kerr geometry. Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 349, 571-575 (1976).
[5] S. Chandrasekhar, The mathematical theory of black hole. Revised reprint of the 1983 original. International Series of Monographs on Physics, 9. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, (1992).
[6] F. Finster, J. Smoller, S.T. Yau, Nonexistence of time-periodic solutions of the Dirac equation in a Reissner-Nordström black hole background. J. Math. Phys. 41, 2173-2194 (2000).
[7] F. Finster, N. Kamran, J. Smoller, S.T. Yau, Nonexistence of time-periodic solutions of the Dirac equation in an axisymmetric black hole geometry. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. III, 0902-0929 (2000).
[8] D. Page, Dirac equation around a charged rotating black hole. Phys. Rev. D 14, 1509-1510 (1976).

(Wang) School of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University, Kaifeng, Henan 475004, PR China

E-mail address: wangyaohua@henu.edu.cn

(Zhang) Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, PR China

E-mail address: xzhang@amss.ac.cn